

AF SUBALGEBRAS OF CERTAIN CROSSED PRODUCTS

YIU TUNG POON

ABSTRACT. Let (X, T) be a dynamical system with X zero dimensional. Each closed subset Y of X gives rise to a subalgebra A_Y of the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on Y for A_Y to be an AF algebra. Suppose Y_1 and Y_2 are two clopen subsets satisfying the condition. We show that Y_1 and Y_2 are homeomorphic as topological spaces if and only if the AF algebras A_{Y_1} and A_{Y_2} are stably isomorphic. Finally, we show that, if the non-periodic points are dense in X and Y is a minimal subset satisfying the condition, then A_Y is a maximal AF subalgebra among the regular subalgebras of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction. Given a compact space X , $C(X)$ will denote the C^* -algebra of complex continuous functions on X . A compact metrizable space X is said to be zero dimensional if the topology on X has a basis consisting of sets which are both closed and open (clopen). In this note we study systems (X, T) where X is a zero dimensional space and T is a homeomorphism on X . Given such a system, we have an action of the integers \mathbb{Z} on $C(X)$. This gives a crossed product algebra $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ (see Pedersen [5]) which is a C^* -algebra generated by $C(X)$ and a unitary U satisfying $UfU^* = f \circ T^{-1}$ for $f \in C(X)$. In [7], we show that the order structure on $K_0(C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z})$ is useful in the study of classification problems of such systems and the crossed product algebras. (We will use Blackadar [1] and Effros [3] for our reference on K -theory). A system (X, T) is said to be minimal if X contains no non-empty T -invariant proper closed subsets. In recent works [9, 10], Putnam proved, among other results, that if X does not have isolated points and the system (X, T) is minimal, then, for every closed subset Y , the C^* -subalgebra of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $C(X)$ and $\{Uf : f \in C(X), f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$ is an AF algebra [9, 10], i.e., A_Y is the closure of an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subalgebras. This result is crucial in his study of AF-subalgebras of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ [10] and the order structure of $K_0(C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z})$ [9]. In §2, given any (X, T) (not necessarily minimal) and a closed subset Y , we prove that A_Y is an AF algebra if and only if, for every clopen subset

W containing Y , $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(W) = X$. Let $D(X, T)$ be the set of closed subsets Y having the above property. In §3, we study the ordered group $K_0(A_Y)$ for Y in $D(X, T)$. Suppose $Y_1, Y_2 \in D(X, T)$ are clopen. We show that Y_1 and Y_2 are homeomorphic if and only if A_{Y_1} and A_{Y_2} are stably isomorphic (see Pedersen [5] or definitions in §3). Let $E(X, T)$ be the set of minimal (in the sense of inclusion) elements in $D(X, T)$. Suppose the non-periodic points are dense in X and $Y \in E(X, T)$. In §4, we prove that if A is a regular subalgebra (see definition in section 4) of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ which contains A_Y as a proper subalgebra, then A is not AF. In particular, if (X, T) is minimal, then, for every $y \in X$, the only regular subalgebra which properly contains $A_{\{y\}}$ is the whole crossed product algebra $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$.

We list here some facts about AF algebras and K -theory of C^* -algebras which will be used later. The details can be found in the references [1, 2, 3 and 4].

Recall that a C^* -algebra A is said to be AF [2] (approximately finite dimensional) if there is an increasing sequence $\{A_n : n \geq 1\}$ of finite dimensional subalgebra of A such that $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ is dense in A . Let A be an AF algebra. Then $K_0(A)$ is an ordered group with ordering given by the semisubgroup $K_0(A)^+$ of classes of projections in the matrix algebras over A (see Effros [3]). If X is a zero dimensional space, then $C(X)$ is a commutative AF algebra and $K_0(C(X))$ is order isomorphic to $C(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the group of integer valued continuous functions with the usual ordering [7]. A result of Elliot [4], says that the ordered group $K_0(A)$, together with a scale (see Effros [3]) is a complete isomorphism invariant for AF algebras. On the other hand, $K_1(A)$ is always zero for an AF algebra A . This fact can be used to show that certain C^* -algebras are not AF. For example, given any system (X, T) , it follows from Pimsner and Voiculescu's exact sequence [6] that $K_1(C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. Hence, $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ is not AF.

We wish to thank the referee for some helpful comments and the "if" part of Corollary 3.2.

2. AF subalgebras. We first establish some notation. Given a system (X, T) and a non-empty T -invariant closed subset Y of X , by restricting the functions of X and the action of T on Y , we have a C^* -homomorphism $\pi_Y : C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C(Y) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$\pi_Y(U) = U_Y$. Therefore, $C(Y) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ is generated by $C(Y)$ and U_Y with $U_Y g U_Y^* = g \circ T^{-1}$ for $g \in C(Y)$. For any clopen subset W of X , let χ_W be the characteristic function on W . Then $\chi_W \in C(X)$ and $U \chi_W U^* = \chi_W \circ T^{-1} = \chi_{T(W)}$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a C^* -subalgebra of $C(X) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ containing $C(X)$. Suppose $U \chi_{X \setminus W} \in A$ for a clopen subset W of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} T^n(W) \neq X$. Then A is not AF.*

PROOF. Let $Y = X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} T^n(W)$. Then Y is a non-empty T -invariant closed subset of X . Since $X \setminus W \supset Y$, $\pi_Y(U \chi_{X \setminus W}) = U_Y$ and the map $\pi_Y : A \rightarrow C(Y) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ is surjective. Thus, the quotient $A/\ker \pi_Y \simeq C(Y) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ is not AF. Hence, A is not AF [2]. \square

Given a system (X, T) and a closed subset Y of X , let $C_0(X \setminus Y)$ be the set of functions in $C(X)$ which vanish on Y . Following Putnam's notation [9, 10], we use A_Y to denote the subalgebra of $C(X) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ generated by $C(X)$ and $U C_0(X \setminus Y) = \{U f : f \in C_0(X \setminus Y)\}$. Given a C^* -algebra A , let $M_n(A)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix algebra over A . The next result is essentially Putnam's construction in [9, 10]. We give a slight modification which allows us to compute the order structure of A_Y in §3.

LEMMA 2.2. *If Y is a clopen subset of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} T^n(Y) = X$, then A_Y is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))$ for a clopen partition $\{Y_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ of Y and some positive integers $J_k, 1 \leq k \leq m$.*

PROOF. Since X is compact and Y is open, there exists an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\bigcup_{k=0}^n T^k(Y) = X$. Thus, for every $y \in Y$, there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $T^k(y) \in Y$. Hence, we can define $\lambda : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ by

$$\lambda(y) = \min\{k \geq 1 : T^k(y) \in Y\}.$$

Since Y is clopen, λ is continuous. Let $\lambda(Y) = \{J_1, \dots, J_m\}$ with $J_1 < J_2 < \dots < J_m$. For $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_k$, define the clopen set $Y(k, j) = T^j(\lambda^{-1}(J_k))$. Then we have:

- (1) $\cup_{k=1}^m Y(k, 1) = T(Y)$.
- (2) $T(Y(k, j)) = Y(k, j+1)$ for $1 \leq j < J_k$.
- (3) $\cup_{k=1}^m Y(k, J_k) = Y$.

It follows from definitions that the sets $Y(k, j)$ $1 \leq k \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq J_k$ are pairwise disjoint. Conditions (1), (2) and (3) imply that the union of all $Y(k, j)$ is a T -invariant subset containing Y and, hence, is equal to X . Let $Y_k = Y(k, J_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$. We are going to show that A_Y is isomorphic to the AF algebra $\oplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))$.

First we identify $C(Y_k)$ with the subalgebra $\{f \in C(X) : f(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \notin Y_k\}$ of $C(X)$. For each $k = 1, \dots, m$, $f \in C(Y_k)$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, J_k$, define

$$e_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes f = U^{i-j} f \circ T^{J_k-j} = f \circ T^{J_k-i} U^{i-j} \in A_Y.$$

One checks directly that

$$\{e_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes f_{ij}^{(k)} : 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i, j \leq J_k \text{ and } f_{ij}^{(k)} \in C(Y_k)\}$$

generates a C^* -subalgebra isomorphic to $\oplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))$. For $f \in C(X)$, let $f_i^{(k)} = (f \circ T^{i-J_k})\chi_{Y_k}$. We have

$$(1) \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k} f \chi_{Y(k,i)} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k} f_i^{(k)} \circ T^{J_k-i} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k} e_{ii}^{(k)} \otimes f_i^{(k)}$$

$$(2) \quad U\chi_{X \setminus Y} = U \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k-1} e_{ii}^{(k)} \otimes \chi_{Y_k} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k-1} e_{i+1,i}^{(k)} \otimes \chi_{Y_k}.$$

Hence, A_Y is isomorphic to $\oplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))$. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *A_Y is an AF algebra if and only if $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(W) = X$ for every clopen subset W containing Y .*

PROOF. For necessity, suppose the contrary that there exists a clopen subset $W \supset Y$ such that $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(W) \neq X$. Since $U\chi_{X \setminus W} \in A_Y$, by Lemma 2.1, A_Y is not AF.

To prove sufficiency, suppose Y is a closed subset of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(W) = X$ for every clopen subset W containing Y . We can choose a decreasing sequence of clopen subsets $Y_1 \supseteq Y_2 \supseteq \dots$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n = Y$. This gives an increasing sequence of AF algebras $A_{Y_1} \subseteq A_{Y_2} \subseteq \dots$ such that the closure of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{Y_n}$ is equal to A_Y [10]. Since each A_{Y_n} is an AF algebra, A_Y is also AF [2]. \square

Let $D(X, T)$ denote the set of closed subsets Y of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} T^n(W) = X$ for every clopen subset W containing Y . From the proof of the above theorem, we have

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $Y \in D(X, T)$. Then, for every $n \geq 1$ and any clopen subset W , $U^n \chi_W \in A_Y$ if and only if $Y \cap (\bigcup_{r=0}^{n-1} T^r(W)) = \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Suppose $n \geq 1$ and W is a clopen subset such that $Y \cap (\bigcup_{r=0}^{n-1} T^r(W)) = \emptyset$. Then $U \chi_{T^r(W)} \in A_Y$ for $r = 0, \dots, n-1$. Hence

$$U^n \chi_W = U \chi_{T^{n-1}(W)} U \chi_{T^{n-2}(W)} \dots U \chi_W \in A_Y.$$

To prove the converse, we note that there is a conditional expectation [5, 10], $E : C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C(X)$ such that $\|E(a)\| \leq \|a\|$ for $a \in C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ and $E(\sum_m U^m f_m) = f_0$, where $f_m \in C(X)$.

Suppose $U^n \chi_W \in A_Y$. Then there exists a clopen subset Y_1 containing Y and $a \in A_{Y_1}$ such that $\|U^n \chi_W - a\| < 1$. Let $a = \sum_m U^m f_m$ with $f_m \in C(X)$. We have

$$\|\chi_W - f_n\| = E(U^{-n}(U^n \chi_W - a)) < 1$$

Thus, $f_n^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap W = \emptyset$. Since every a in A_{Y_1} is a linear combination of $e_{ij}^{(k)} \otimes f_{ij}^{(k)} = U^{i-j} f_{ij}^{(k)} \circ T^{J_k-j}$ with $f_{ij}^{(k)} \in C(Y_1(k, J_k))$, f_n is a linear combination of those $f_{ij}^{(k)} \circ T^{J_k-j}$ with $i-j = n$ for some $i \leq J_k$. Since $f_{ij}^{(k)} \in C(Y_1(k, J_k))$, $f_{ij}^{(k)} \circ T^{J_k-j}$ vanishes off $Y_1(k, j)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} W \subset X \setminus f_n^{-1}(\{0\}) &\subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m \bigcup_{j=1}^{J_k-n} Y_1(k, j) \\ \Rightarrow Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{r=0}^{n-1} T^r(W) \right) &\subset Y_1 \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m \bigcup_{j=1}^{J_k-1} Y_1(k, j) \right) = \emptyset. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. The K -theory of AF subalgebras. In this section, we will use the explicit construction in Lemma 2.2 to compute the ordered group $K_0(A_Y)$.

Let \mathbf{K} be the algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Two C^* -algebras A, B are said to be stably isomorphic if the tensor products [5] $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ and $B \otimes \mathbf{K}$ are isomorphic. A result of Elliot [4] says that two AF algebras A, B are stably isomorphic if and only if $K_0(A)$ and $K_0(B)$ are order isomorphic. To get a complete invariant for isomorphism of AF algebras, we need to consider the order structure together with a scale [3, 4], $\Gamma(A)$, which is a subset of $K_0(A)^+$. If A is a unital AF algebra, then the scale for $K_0(A)^+$ is given by

$$\Gamma(A) = \{g \in K_0(A)^+ : g \leq [1_A]\},$$

where $[1_A]$ is the class containing the identity 1_A of A . $[1_A]$ is known as an order unit for $K_0(A)$ [3]. Two AF algebras A, B are isomorphic if and only if there exists an order isomorphism between $K_0(A)$ and $K_0(B)$ which takes $\Gamma(A)$ onto $\Gamma(B)$ (Elliot [4], also see Effros [3] for details on scales). If A, B are unital and ϕ is an order isomorphism between $K_0(A)$ and $K_0(B)$, then $\phi(\Gamma(A)) = \Gamma(B)$ if and only if $\phi([1_A]) = [1_B]$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $Y \in D(X, T)$ is clopen, then $K_0(A_Y)$ is order isomorphic to $C(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ with order unit $u_Y = \sum_{k=1}^m J_k \chi_{Y_k}$, where J_k and $Y_k, 1 \leq k \leq m$ are as given in Lemma 2.2.*

PROOF. From Lemma 2.2, we have a clopen partition $\{Y_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}$ of Y and integers $J_k, 1 \leq k \leq m$ such that A_Y is isomorphic to $\oplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(A_Y) &\simeq \oplus_{k=1}^m K_0[M_{J_k}(C(Y_k))] \\ &\simeq \oplus_{k=1}^m C(Y_k, \mathbf{Z}) \quad (\text{Since } K_0(M_n(A)) \simeq K_0(A)) \\ &\simeq C(Y, \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

If $P = \oplus_{k=1}^m (p_{ij}^{(k)})$ is a projection in $\oplus_{k=1}^m M_{J_k}(C(Y_k)) \simeq A_Y$, then the class $[P]$ in $C(Y, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq K_0(A_Y)$ is given by $\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k} p_{ii}^{(k)}$. Thus, if f

is a projection in $C(X)$, we have

$$[f] = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{J_k} (f \circ T^{i-J_k}) \chi_{Y_k}.$$

In particular, $[1_{A_Y}] = \sum_{k=1}^m J_k \chi_{Y_k}$. Hence, the ordered group $C(Y, \mathbf{Z})$ has an order unit $u_Y = \sum_{k=1}^m J_k \chi_{Y_k}$ and scale

$$\Gamma_Y = \{g \in C(Y, \mathbf{Z}) : 0 \leq g \leq \sum_{k=1}^m J_k \chi_{Y_k}\}. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let Y_1 and Y_2 be two clopen subsets in $D(X, T)$. Y_1 and Y_2 are homeomorphic if and only if A_{Y_1} and A_{Y_2} are stably isomorphic.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let Y be a closed subset in $D(X, T)$ and $Y(1) \supseteq Y(2) \supseteq \dots$ a decreasing sequence of clopen subset such that $\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} Y(n) = Y$. Then $K_0(A_Y)$ is equal to the direct limit [3] $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(Y(n), \mathbf{Z})$ of the scaled ordered groups $\{C(Y(n), \mathbf{Z})\}_{n \geq 1}$ where the scale of $C(Y(n), \mathbf{Z})$ is given by the order unit $u_{Y(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} J(n)_k \chi_{Y(n)_k}$ and the connecting homomorphism Φ_n between $C(Y(n-1), \mathbf{Z})$ and $C(Y(n), \mathbf{Z})$ is given by*

$$\Phi_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{m(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{J(n)_k-1} (f \circ T^{-i}) \chi_{Y(n)_k}.$$

REMARK 3.4. For minimal systems (X, T) , Putnam has given [10, Theorem 4.1] an exact sequence which relates $C(Y, \mathbf{Z})$, $K_0(A_Y)$ and $K_0(C(X) \times_T \mathbf{Z})$ for $Y \in D(X, T)$. This result can be easily generalized to arbitrary systems [8]. However, as is pointed out in [10], the order structure usually cannot be computed from this exact sequence.

4. Regular subalgebras. Suppose A is a C^* -subalgebra of $C(X) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ containing $C(X)$. Let $U(A)$ be the unitary group of A . The normalizer of $C(X)$ in $U(A)$ is given by

$$N(C(X), A) = \{V \in U(A) : VC(X)V^* = C(X)\}.$$

A is said to be regular if $N(C(X), A)$ generates A . Let $Y \in D(X, T)$. Then A_Y is regular simply because every matrix algebra is generated by the permutation and diagonal matrices.

Given a decreasing chain $\{Y_i : i \in I\}$, $Y_i \in D(X, T)$, let $Y = \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i$. If W is any clopen subset containing Y , then W contains some Y_i . Hence, $Y \in D(X, T)$. Thus we can choose a minimal (in terms of inclusion) element in $D(X, T)$. Let $E(X, T)$ be the set of minimal elements of $D(X, T)$. We are going to study regular subalgebras A of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A \supset A_Y$ for some $Y \in E(X, T)$. First, we need the following description of $N(C(X), C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z})$ by Putnam [10, Lemma 5.1]:

LEMMA 4.1. *Let (X, T) be a system where the set of non-periodic points $X_0 = \{x \in X : T^n(x) \neq x \text{ for } n \neq 0\}$ is dense in X . Then every $V \in N(C(X), C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z})$ can be decomposed into the form*

$$V = f \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} p_n U^n,$$

where $f \in U(C(X))$, each p_n is a projection in $C(X)$ with finitely many p_n different from 0, $p_n p_m = 0$ for $n \neq m$, and

$$\sum_n p_n = \sum_n p_n \circ T^n = 1.$$

Moreover, this decomposition is unique.

REMARKS 4.2. Putnam proved the above result for minimal systems. But with slight modification, the proof also works when X_0 is dense in X .

The main result in this section is

THEOREM 4.3. *Let (X, T) be a system with X_0 dense in X . If A is a regular subalgebra of $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A \supset A_Y$ for some $Y \in E(X, T)$, then A is not AF.*

PROOF. Since A is regular, there exists $V \in \mathbf{N}(C(X), A)$ such that $V \notin A_Y$. Let $V = f \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} p_n U^n$ be the decomposition as given in Lemma 4.1. Hence, $p_n U^n \notin A_Y$ for some n . Without loss of generality, we may assume $n \geq 1$. Writing $p_n U^n = U^n \chi_W$ for a clopen set W , we have $U^n \chi_W = p_n f V \in A$ and, from Corollary 2.4, $Y \cap (\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k(W)) \neq \emptyset$. We are going to prove by induction on n that if for a clopen subset W of X such that for some $n \geq 1$, $U^n \chi_W \in A$ and $Y \cap (\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k(W)) \neq \emptyset$, then A is not AF.

(1) If $n = 1$, then $Y \cap W \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $Y \setminus W$ is a proper closed subset of Y . By the minimality of Y , there exists a clopen subset O of X containing $Y \setminus W$ such that $\cup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} T^n(O) \neq X$. Therefore, $O \cup W \supset Y$ and $U \chi_{X \setminus O} = U \chi_W \chi_{X \setminus O} + U \chi_{X \setminus (O \cup W)} \in A$. Hence by Lemma 2.1, A is not AF.

(2) If $n > 1$, let $k = \min\{i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, Y \cap T^i(W) \neq \emptyset\}$. We divide the proof into three cases:

(a) $k > 0$. So, $Y \cap W = \emptyset$ and $U \chi_W \in A_Y \subset A$. We have,

$$U^{n-1} \chi_{T(W)} = U^n \chi_W (U \chi_W)^* \in A$$

and

$$Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-2} T^i(T(W)) \right) \supset Y \cap T^k(W) \neq \emptyset.$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis, A is not AF.

(b) $k = 0$ and $T^{n-1}(Y \cap W) \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$. Choose $y \in Y \cap W$ and a clopen subset O with $y \in O$ such that $T^{n-1}(O) \cap Y = \emptyset$. Thus, $U \chi_{T^{n-1}(O)} \in A_Y \subset A$. We have, $U^{n-1} \chi_{O \cap W} = (U \chi_{T^{n-1}(O)})^* U^n \chi_W \in A$ and $Y \cap (\cup_{k=0}^{n-2} T^k(O \cap W)) \supseteq Y \cap (O \cap W) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, by the induction hypotheses, A is not AF.

(c) $k = 0$ and $T^{n-1}(Y \cap W) \subset Y$. We are going to find a T -invariant closed subset Y_1 such that the image of A under the map $\pi_{Y_1} : A \rightarrow C(Y_1) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ is not AF. For every $y \in Y \cap W$, let $\lambda(y) = \min\{i \geq 1 : T^i(y) \in Y\}$. Thus $1 \leq \lambda(y) \leq n-1$ for all $y \in Y \cap W$. Choose $y_0 \in Y \cap W$ such that $r = \lambda(y_0)$ is a maximum. We will show that $T^r(y_0) = y_0$.

Suppose the contrary that $T^r(y_0) \neq y_0$. Choose a clopen subset O of X containing y_0 such that $T^r(O) \cap O = \emptyset$ and $T^i(O) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for

$1 \leq i < r$. From the definition of r , we have $T^r(O \cap W \cap Y) \subset Y$. Therefore $Y_2 = Y \setminus (O \cap W)$ is a proper closed subset of Y and $Y_2 \supset T^r(O \cap W \cap Y)$. Hence, for every clopen subset $W_2 \supset Y_2$, we have $T^{-r}(W_2) \supset (O \cap W \cap Y)$. This gives $W_2 \cup T^{-r}(W_2) \supset Y$ which implies

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} T^m(W_2) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} T^m(W_2 \cup T^{-r}(W_2)) = X.$$

Thus Y_2 is also in $D(X, T)$, contradicting the minimality of Y .

Let $Y_1 = \{T^i(y_0) : 0 \leq i < r-1\}$. Then Y_1 is a T -invariant closed subset of X . We choose a clopen subset Q containing y_0 such that $T^i(Q) \cap Y = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Therefore $U\chi_{T(Q) \cup \dots \cup T^{r-1}(Q)} \in A_Y \subset A$. Hence,

$$V = U^n \chi_W \chi_Q + U \chi_{T(Q) \cup \dots \cup T^{r-1}(Q)} \in A.$$

Since $T^{n-1}(y_0) \in Y$, we have that r divides $n-1$. Let $n = rs + 1$ for some integer $s \geq 0$. Since Y_1 contains r points permuted cyclically by T , we can describe $C(Y_1) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ very explicitly:

Let S be the set of complex numbers of modulus 1. Then $C(Y_1) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$ is isomorphic to $M_r(C(S))$. Under this isomorphism, $f \in C(Y_1)$ is given by a diagonal matrix with diagonal equal to $[f(y_0), f(T(y_0)), \dots, f(T^{r-1}(y_0))]$ and U_{Y_1} is equal to (u_{ij}) with $u_{ii-1} = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$, $u_{1r} = z$, the identity function on S and $u_{ij} = 0$ elsewhere. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{Y_1}(V) &= U_{Y_1}^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & & \end{bmatrix} + U_{Y_1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \dots & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & z \\ z^s & 0 & & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Given a unitary matrix $B \in M_r(C(S)) \simeq C(Y_1) \times_T \mathbf{Z}$, the corresponding class $[B]$ in $K_1(M_r(C(S))) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$ is given by the winding number of $\det B$. Thus $[\pi_{Y_1}(V)] = (-1)^{r-1}(s+1) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{Z} . Hence, $\pi_Y(A)$ and consequently, A is not AF. \square

If (X, T) is minimal, then $\{y\} \in E(X, T)$ for every $y \in X$. Since X does not have periodic points, Case 2(c) in the proof of the above theorem does not occur. Thus, by induction, we can assume $n = 1$ and (1) shows that $U \in A$. Hence we have

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let (X, T) be a minimal system and $y \in X$. If A is a regular subalgebra such that $A \supset A_{\{y\}}$, then $A = C(X) \times_T M$.*

REMARK 4.5. T -invariant sets in $D(X, T)$ and $E(X, T)$ have shown [8, 11], to be useful in determining when the invertible elements in $C(X) \times_T \mathbb{Z}$ are dense.

REFERENCES

1. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator theory*, Math. Ser. Res. Inst. Publ. No. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
2. O. Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972), 195–234.
3. E. Effros, *Dimensions and C^* -algebras*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., No. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1981.
4. G. Elliot, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semi-simple finite dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra. **38** (1976), 29–44.
5. G. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, New York 1979.
6. M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Exact sequences for K -groups and Ext groups of certain crossed product C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 93–118.
7. Y.T. Poon, *A K -theoretic invariant for dynamical systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 515–533.
8. ———, *Stable rank of some crossed product C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), 868–875.
9. I. Putnam, *On the non-stable K -theory of certain transformation group C^* -algebras*, preprint.
10. ———, *The C^* -algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set*, preprint.
11. ———, *On the topological stable rank of certain transformation group C^* -algebras*, preprint.