

# Empirical Validation Issues for Agent-Based Computational Economics

---

**Leigh Tesfatsion**

Professor Emerita of Economics

Courtesy Research Professor of ECpE

Iowa State University

Ames, Iowa 50011-1070

[https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/  
tesfatsi@iastate.edu](https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/tesfatsi@iastate.edu)

# Outline

---

- Redux: Three strands of ACE Research
- For which strand(s) is empirical validation appropriate?
- Does one approach work for all?
- Summary of arguments and open issues
- Other important issues arising for the empirical validation of ACE models

**Empirical Validation Resource Site:**

<https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/EmpValid.htm>

# Three Strands of ACE Research

---

- ❑ Qualitative Insight/Theory Generation  
(e.g. coordination in decentralized markets,...)
- ❑ Empirical Understanding  
(e.g. possible reasons for empirical regularities,...)
- ❑ Normative Understanding  
(e.g. institutional design,...)

# ACE and Qualitative Analysis

---

**Illustrative Issue:** What are the performance capabilities of decentralized markets? (*Adam Smith, F. Hayek, ...*)

## ACE Approach:

- ◆ ***Construct an agent-based world*** that qualitatively captures key aspects of decentralized market economies (firms, consumers, limited information, ...)
- ◆ ***Introduce traders with endowments, needs, wants,....*** Let the world evolve. Observe the degree of coordination that results.

***Examples:*** Decentralized exchange economies without a Walrasian Auctioneer, *Zero-Intelligence (ZI)* agent double-auction markets,...

# ACE and Empirical Regularities

---

**Key Issue:** Is there a causal explanation for **persistently observed empirical regularities**?

## ACE Approach:

- ◆ Construct an *agent-based world* capturing salient aspects of the empirical situation.
- ◆ Investigate whether the empirical regularities can be *reliably generated* as outcomes in this world.

*Example:* ACE financial market research seeking explanation of several “stylized facts” in combination

<https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/afinance.htm>

# ACE and Institutional Design

---

**Key Issue:** Does an institutional design ensure **efficient, fair, and orderly social outcomes over time** despite possible attempts by participants to “game” the design for their own personal advantage?

## ACE Approach:

- ◆ ***Construct an agent-based world*** capturing salient aspects of the institutional design.
- ◆ ***Introduce agents with endowments, needs, goals, beliefs, etc.*** Let the world evolve. Observe and evaluate resulting social outcomes.

**Examples:** Design of matching mechanisms, unemployment benefit programs, electricity markets

# Key Distinctions in Approaches to the Empirical Validation of ACE Models

<https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/tesfatsi/EmpValid.htm>

---

- ❑ **Input validation:** Are the exogenous inputs for the model empirically meaningful and appropriate for the purpose at hand (*e.g., initially specified state conditions, functional forms, random shock realizations, data-based parameter estimates, and/or parameter values imported from other studies*) ?
- ❑ **Process Validation:** How well do the physical, biological, institutional, and social processes represented within the model reflect real-world aspects important for the purpose at hand? Are these modeled processes consistent with essential scaffolding constraints (*e.g., physical laws, stock-flow relations, and accounting identities*) ?
- ❑ **Descriptive output validation:** How well are model-generated outputs able to capture the salient features of the sample data used for model identification? (*in-sample fitting*)
- ❑ **Predictive output validation:** How well are model-generated outputs able to forecast distributions, or distribution moments, for sample data withheld from model identification or for new data subsequently acquired? (*out-of-sample forecasting*)

# Input Validation via Iterative Participatory Modeling (IPM)

---

- ◆ Stakeholders and researchers from multiple disciplines jointly engage in a continual learning process that consists of *repeated looping through four stages of analysis*:
  - Field work and data collection;
  - Scenario discussion/role-playing games;
  - Agent-based model development;
  - Intensive computational experiments.

*Note:* See Barreteau et al. (JASSS, 6-1,2003)



# Other Issues Related to the Empirical Validation of ACE Models

---

- How can researchers provide **summary reports** of model findings to other researchers and to intended model users (e.g. policy makers) in a manner that is accurate, compelling, and clear?

**For Example:** *How to achieve both clarity and accuracy?*

It might be necessary to report *distributions* for outcomes rather than simple point predictions for outcomes.

And/or it might be necessary to report how *network interaction patterns* vary systematically in response to policy changes.

# Other Issues...Continued

---

- How can researchers ensure the *robustness* of their model findings?

**For Example:** *How to avoid spurious effects?* How to ensure that model findings arise from the modeled attributes of a real-world system under study rather than from spurious aspects of the software/hardware platform used to implement this model?

- How can researchers ensure the *accumulation over time* of important empirically-supported findings?