

2023 - ISU Putnam Practice Set 2 - Solutions

Thursday, September 14, 2023

Inequalities

1. Let $ABCD$ be a convex cyclic quadrilateral. Prove that

$$|AB - CD| + |AD - BC| \geq 2|AC - BD|.$$

Solution: The first idea is to simplify the problem and prove separately the inequalities $|AB - CD| \geq |AC - BD|$ and $|AD - BC| \geq |AC - BD|$. Because of symmetry it suffices to prove the first. Let M be the intersection of the diagonals AC and BD . For simplicity, let $AM = x$, $BM = y$, $AB = z$. By the similarity of triangles MAB and MDC there exists a positive number k such that $DM = kx$, $CM = ky$, and $CD = kz$. Then $|AB - CD| = |k - 1|z$ and $|AC - BD| = |(kx + y) - (ky + x)| = |k - 1| \cdot |x - y|$. By the triangle inequality, $|x - y| \leq z$, which implies $|AB - CD| \geq |AC - BD|$, completing the proof.

2. Show that all real roots of the polynomial $P(x) = x^5 - 10x + 35$ are negative.

Solution: Because $P(x)$ has odd degree, it has a real zero r . If $r > 0$, then by the AM-GM inequality

$$\frac{r^5 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2^5}{5} \geq \sqrt[5]{r^5 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2^5} = 2r,$$

and hence

$$P(r) = r^5 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2^5 - 5 \cdot 2 \cdot r \geq 0.$$

And the inequality is strict since $1 \neq 2$. Hence $r < 0$, as desired.

2nd Solution by Carson Givens: Observe that the nonzero coefficients have sign sequence: $+, -, +$. As there are two consecutive sign changes, Descartes's sign rule implies that there are either 0 or 2 real positive roots. This isn't really needed since we can use calculus instead.

Since $P'(x) = 5x^4 - 10$, $P(x)$ has local maximum at $-\sqrt[4]{2}$ with value $-2^{5/4} + 10 \cdot 2^{1/4} + 35 > 0$ and a local minimum at $\sqrt[4]{2}$ with value $2^{5/4} - 10 \cdot 2^{1/4} + 35 > 0$. It follows that any roots of $P(x)$ must be less than $-\sqrt[4]{2}$.

3. Let a, b, c be the side lengths of a triangle with perimeter 2. Prove that

$$1 < ab + bc + ca - abc \leq \frac{28}{27}.$$

Solution: The inequality from the statement is equivalent to that is,

$$0 < 1 - (a + b + c) + ab + bc + ca - abc < \frac{1}{27},$$

that is

$$0 < (1 - a)(1 - b)(1 - c) \leq \frac{1}{27}.$$

From the triangle inequalities $a + b > c$, $b + c > a$, $a + c > b$ and the condition $a + b + c = 2$ it follows that $0 < a, b, c < 1$. The inequality on the left is now evident, and the one on the right follows from the AM-GM inequality

$$\sqrt[3]{xyz} \leq \frac{x + y + z}{3}$$

applied to $x = 1 - a$, $y = 1 - b$, $z = 1 - c$.

Second Solution inspired by Matthew Dorang: Proceed as above to get the equivalent inequalities:

$$0 < (1 - a)(1 - b)(1 - c) \leq \frac{1}{27}.$$

By Heron's formula, the area A of this triangle is exactly $A = \sqrt{(1 - a)(1 - b)(1 - c)}$. Since $A > 0$, we get the left-hand inequality. It is also clear that A is maximized when our triangle is equilateral with $a = b = c = \frac{2}{3}$, in which case $A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{9}$. Squaring both sides gives the right-hand inequality.

4. Let a, b, c be the side lengths of a triangle with the property that for any positive integer n , the numbers a^n , b^n , c^n can also be the side lengths of a triangle. Prove that the triangle is necessarily isosceles.

Solution: Let c be the largest side. By the triangle inequality, $c^n < a^n + b^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. This is equivalent to

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^n + \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

If $a < c$ and $b < c$, then by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $1 < 0$, impossible. Hence one of the other two sides equals c , and the triangle is isosceles.

5. Let m and n be positive integers. Show that

$$\frac{(m+n)!}{(m+n)^{m+n}} < \frac{m!}{m^m} \frac{n!}{n^n}.$$

Solution: (Putnam 2004 B2) **First solution:** We have

$$(m+n)^{m+n} > \binom{m+n}{m} m^m n^n$$

because the binomial expansion of $(m+n)^{m+n}$ includes the term on the right as well as some others. Rearranging this inequality yields the claim.

Remark: One can also interpret this argument combinatorially. Suppose that we choose $m+n$ times (with replacement) uniformly randomly from a set of $m+n$ balls, of which m are red and n are blue. Then the probability of picking each ball exactly once is $(m+n)!/(m+n)^{m+n}$. On the other hand, if p is the probability of picking exactly m red balls, then $p < 1$ and the probability of picking each ball exactly once is $p(m^m/m!)(n^n/n!)$.

Second solution: (by David Savitt) Define

$$S_k = \{i/k : i = 1, \dots, k\}$$

and rewrite the desired inequality as

$$\prod_{x \in S_m} x \prod_{y \in S_n} y > \prod_{z \in S_{m+n}} z.$$

To prove this, it suffices to check that if we sort the multiplicands on both sides into increasing order, the i -th term on the left side is greater than or equal to the i -th term on the right side. (The equality is strict already for $i = 1$, so you do get a strict inequality above.)

Another way to say this is that for any i , the number of factors on the left side which are less than $i/(m+n)$ is less than i . But since $j/m < i/(m+n)$ is equivalent to $j < im/(m+n)$, that number is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\lceil \frac{im}{m+n} \right\rceil - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{in}{m+n} \right\rceil - 1 \\ & \leq \frac{im}{m+n} + \frac{in}{m+n} - 1 = i - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Third solution: Put $f(x) = x(\log(x+1) - \log x)$; then for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= \log(1 + 1/x) - \frac{1}{x+1} \\ f''(x) &= -\frac{1}{x(x+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f''(x) < 0$ for all x ; since $f'(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f'(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, so f is strictly increasing.

Put $g(m) = m \log m - \log(m!)$; then $g(m+1) - g(m) = f(m)$, so $g(m+1) - g(m)$ increases with m . By induction, $g(m+n) - g(m)$ increases with n for any positive integer n , so in particular

$$\begin{aligned} g(m+n) - g(m) &> g(n) - g(1) + f(m) \\ &\geq g(n) \end{aligned}$$

since $g(1) = 0$. Exponentiating yields the desired inequality.

Fourth solution: (by W.G. Boskoff and Bogdan Suceavă) We prove the claim by induction on $m+n$. The base case is $m = n = 1$, in which case the desired inequality is obviously true: $2!/2^2 = 1/2 < 1 = (1!/1^1)(1!/1^1)$. To prove the induction step, suppose $m+n > 2$; we must then have $m > 1$ or $n > 1$ or both. Because the desired result is symmetric in m and n , we may as well assume $n > 1$. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{(m+n-1)!}{(m+n-1)^{m+n-1}} < \frac{m!}{m^m} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1)^{n-1}}.$$

To obtain the desired inequality, it will suffice to check that

$$\frac{(m+n-1)^{m+n-1}}{(m+n-1)!} \frac{(m+n)!}{(m+n)^{m+n}} < \frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{n!}{(n)^n}$$

or in other words

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{m+n}\right)^{m+n-1} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1}.$$

To show this, we check that the function $f(x) = (1 - 1/x)^{x-1}$ is strictly decreasing for $x > 1$; while this can be achieved using the weighted arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we give a simple calculus proof instead. The derivative of $\log f(x)$ is $\log(1 - 1/x) + 1/x$, so it is enough to check that this is negative for $x > 1$. An equivalent statement is that $\log(1-x) + x < 0$ for $0 < x < 1$; this in turn holds because the function $g(x) = \log(1-x) + x$ tends to 0 as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and has derivative $1 - \frac{1}{1-x} < 0$ for $0 < x < 1$.