

Syzygy Bounds on the Regularity of Ideals

Jason McCullough

Mathematical Sciences Research Institute



October 28, 2012

University of Arizona

AMS West Fall Sectional Meetings

Notation

K any field (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)

Notation

K any field (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)

$R = K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, polynomial ring in n variables

Notation

K any field (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)

$R = K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, polynomial ring in n variables

$R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ is a standard graded ring, where $R_i = K$ -vector space of homogeneous degree i polynomials

Notation

K any field (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)

$R = K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, polynomial ring in n variables

$R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ is a standard graded ring, where $R_i = K$ -vector space of homogeneous degree i polynomials

$R(-d)$ = rank one free module with generator in degree d
(so $R(-d)_i = R_{i-d}$)

Notation

K any field (e.g. $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)

$R = K[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, polynomial ring in n variables

$R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ is a standard graded ring, where $R_i = K$ -vector space of homogeneous degree i polynomials

$R(-d)$ = rank one free module with generator in degree d

(so $R(-d)_i = R_{i-d}$)

$I = (f_1, \dots, f_t) \subset R$ a homogeneous ideal

(i.e. each f_j is in some R_i)

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:


Map R onto R/I

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R$$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

The kernel is I

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R$$


Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Map a free module onto minimal generators of I

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \leftarrow \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}}$$

\downarrow
 I

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Call the composition φ_1

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}}$$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Map a free module onto $\text{Ker } \varphi_1$. Call the composition φ_2 .

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{2j}}$$

\swarrow

 \downarrow

 $\text{Ker } \varphi_1$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Keep Going

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{2j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_3} \dots$$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Yields a sequence of graded free modules and graded maps.

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{2j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_3} \dots \xleftarrow{\varphi_p} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{pj}} \leftarrow 0$$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Yields a sequence of graded free modules and graded maps.

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{2j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_3} \dots \xleftarrow{\varphi_p} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{pj}} \leftarrow 0$$

Projective Dimension of $R/I = \text{pd}(R/I) = \max\{i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}$

Graded Free Resolutions

Define a minimal, graded free resolution of R/I as follows:

Yields a sequence of graded free modules and graded maps.

$$0 \leftarrow R/I \leftarrow R \xleftarrow{\varphi_1} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{2j}} \xleftarrow{\varphi_3} \cdots \xleftarrow{\varphi_p} \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{pj}} \leftarrow 0$$

Projective Dimension of $R/I = \text{pd}(R/I) = \max\{i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}$

Regularity of $R/I = \text{reg}(R/I) = \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}$

Betti Tables

Record the Betti numbers β_{ij} in a matrix called the Betti table:

Betti Tables

Record the Betti numbers β_{ij} in a matrix called the Betti table:

	0	1	2	...	i	...
0:	$\beta_{0,0}$	$\beta_{1,1}$	$\beta_{2,2}$...	$\beta_{i,i}$...
1:	$\beta_{0,1}$	$\beta_{1,2}$	$\beta_{2,3}$...	$\beta_{i,i+1}$...
2:	$\beta_{0,2}$	$\beta_{1,3}$	$\beta_{2,4}$...	$\beta_{i,i+2}$...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	
j:	$\beta_{0,j}$	$\beta_{1,j+1}$	$\beta_{2,j+2}$...	$\beta_{i,i+j}$...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	

Betti Tables

Record the Betti numbers β_{ij} in a matrix called the Betti table:

	0	1	2	...	i	...
0:	$\beta_{0,0}$	$\beta_{1,1}$	$\beta_{2,2}$...	$\beta_{i,i}$...
1:	$\beta_{0,1}$	$\beta_{1,2}$	$\beta_{2,3}$...	$\beta_{i,i+1}$...
2:	$\beta_{0,2}$	$\beta_{1,3}$	$\beta_{2,4}$...	$\beta_{i,i+2}$...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	
j:	$\beta_{0,j}$	$\beta_{1,j+1}$	$\beta_{2,j+2}$...	$\beta_{i,i+j}$...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	

$\text{pd}(R/I)$ = last nonzero column in Betti table.

$\text{reg}(R/I)$ = last nonzero row in Betti table.

An Example: $I = (w^2, x^2, wy + xz)$, $R = K[w, x, y, z]$

An Example: $I = (w^2, x^2, wy + xz), \quad R = K[w, x, y, z]$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 R/I \longleftarrow R \longleftarrow \begin{matrix} (w^2 \ x^2 \ wy+xz) \end{matrix} \longleftarrow R(-2)^3 \longleftarrow \begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} -x^2 & 0 & -wy-xz & -xy & -y^2 \\ w^2 & -wy-xz & 0 & -wz & z^2 \\ 0 & x^2 & w^2 & wx & wy-xz \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \longleftarrow R(-4)^5 \\
 \longleftarrow \begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & z & 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & -x & -y & 0 \\ -x & w & z & -y \\ 0 & 0 & w & x \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \longleftarrow R(-5)^4 \longleftarrow \begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ y \\ -x \\ w \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix} \longleftarrow R(-6) \longleftarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

An Example: $I = (w^2, x^2, wy + xz)$, $R = K[w, x, y, z]$

$$R/I \longleftarrow R \xleftarrow{(w^2 \ x^2 \ wy+xz)} R(-2)^3 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x^2 & 0 & -wy-xz & -xy & -y^2 \\ w^2 & -wy-xz & 0 & -wz & z^2 \\ 0 & x^2 & w^2 & wx & wy-xz \end{pmatrix}} R(-4)^5 \\ \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & z & 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & -x & -y & 0 \\ -x & w & z & -y \\ 0 & 0 & w & x \end{pmatrix}} R(-5)^4 \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -z \\ y \\ -x \\ w \end{pmatrix}} R(-6) \longleftarrow 0$$

Betti Table for R/I :

	0	1	2	3	4
0:	1	-	-	-	-
1:	-	3	-	-	-
2:	-	-	5	4	1

An Example: $I = (w^2, x^2, wy + xz)$, $R = K[w, x, y, z]$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 R/I \longleftarrow R \xleftarrow{(w^2 \ x^2 \ wy+xz)} R \xleftarrow{(-2)^3 \begin{pmatrix} -x^2 & 0 & -wy-xz & -xy & -y^2 \\ w^2 & -wy-xz & 0 & -wz & z^2 \\ 0 & x^2 & w^2 & wx & wy-xz \end{pmatrix}} R \xleftarrow{(-4)^5} \\
 \longleftarrow \begin{pmatrix} y & z & 0 & 0 \\ w & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & -x & -y & 0 \\ -x & w & z & -y \\ 0 & 0 & w & x \end{pmatrix} R \xleftarrow{(-5)^4} \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ y \\ -x \\ w \end{pmatrix} R \xleftarrow{(-6)} 0
 \end{array}$$

Betti Table for R/I :

	0	1	2	3	4
0:	1	-	-	-	-
1:	-	3	-	-	-
2:	-	-	5	4	1

$$\text{pd}(R/I) = 4$$

$$\text{reg}(R/I) = 2$$

Doubly Exponential Regularity Bound

Question

Can one bound $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of the maximal degree of any generator of I and $n = \text{number of variables of } R$?

Doubly Exponential Regularity Bound

Question

Can one bound $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of the maximal degree of any generator of I and $n =$ number of variables of R ?

Yes:

Theorem (Galligo-'79, Giusti-'84, Caviglia-Sbarra-'05)

*Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R$ be an ideal generated in degree $\leq d$.
Then*

$$\text{reg}(R/I) \leq (2d)^{2^{n-2}}.$$

Doubly Exponential Regularity Bound

	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
0:	1	?					
1:	-	?					
2:	-	?					
⋮	-	?					
d-1:	-	?					
d:	-	-					
⋮	-	-					
⋮	-	-					
⋮	-	-					
⋮	-	-					
⋮	-	-					

Doubly Exponential Regularity Bound

	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
0:	1	?	?	?	?	?	?
1:	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
2:	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
⋮	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
d-1:	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
d:	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
⋮	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
⋮	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
$(2d)^{2^{n-2}}$:	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
$(2d)^{2^{n-2}} + 1$:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Mayr-Meyer Ideals

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$R = K[s_m, f_m, c_{i,m}, b_{i,m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq m \leq n]$ ($10n$ variables)

$I_n =$ ideal defined by the $10n - 6$ degree ≤ 4 generators:

Mayr-Meyer Ideals

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$R = K[s_m, f_m, c_{i,m}, b_{i,m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq m \leq n]$ ($10n$ variables)

$I_n =$ ideal defined by the $10n - 6$ degree ≤ 4 generators:

Theorem (Bayer-Stillman-'88)

$$\text{reg}(R/I_n) \geq 2^{2^n}$$

Mayr-Meyer Ideals

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$R = K[s_m, f_m, c_{i,m}, b_{i,m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq m \leq n]$ ($10n$ variables)

$I_n =$ ideal defined by the $10n - 6$ degree ≤ 4 generators:

Theorem (Bayer-Stillman-'88)

$$\text{reg}(R/I_n) \geq 2^{2^n}$$

Moral: Cannot expect any bound on $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of just the maximum of the degrees of the generators and number of variables that is not doubly exponential.

Regularity Bounds

$t_i = t_i(R/I) :=$ maximal degree of an i th syzygy of an ideal I

Regularity Bounds

$t_i = t_i(R/I) :=$ maximal degree of an i th syzygy of an ideal I

Question (Engheta-'05)

Is there a polynomial bound on $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_h for some $h \geq 1$?

Regularity Bounds

$t_i = t_i(R/I) :=$ maximal degree of an i th syzygy of an ideal I

Question (Engheta-'05)

Is there a polynomial bound on $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_h for some $h \geq 1$?

$t_1(R/I) =$ maximal degree of a minimal generator of I ($= d$)

Regularity Bounds

$t_i = t_i(R/I) :=$ maximal degree of an i th syzygy of an ideal I

Question (Engheta-'05)

Is there a polynomial bound on $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_h for some $h \geq 1$?

$t_1(R/I) =$ maximal degree of a minimal generator of I ($= d$)

Mayr-Meyer Ideals \Rightarrow No, if $h = 1$.

Regularity Bounds

$t_i = t_i(R/I) :=$ maximal degree of an i th syzygy of an ideal I

Question (Engheta-'05)

Is there a polynomial bound on $\text{reg}(R/I)$ in terms of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_h for some $h \geq 1$?

$t_1(R/I) =$ maximal degree of a minimal generator of I ($= d$)

Mayr-Meyer Ideals \Rightarrow No, if $h = 1$.

We prove the answer is Yes, if $h \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$, where $n =$ number of variables.

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

Theorem (-)

Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and I be a homogeneous ideal. Set $t_i = t_i(R/I) = \max$ degree of an i th syzygy. Set $h = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h t_i + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^h t_i}{(h-1)!}.$$

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

Theorem (-)

Let $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and I be a homogeneous ideal. Set $t_i = t_i(R/I) = \max$ degree of an i th syzygy. Set $h = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h t_i + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^h t_i}{(h-1)!}.$$

Proof uses Boij-Söderberg decomposition of the Betti table of R/I into a positive, rational sum of pure diagrams.

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

Remark

This bound is in general large, but is much smaller than the doubly exponential bound purely in terms of d and n .

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

Remark

This bound is in general large, but is much smaller than the doubly exponential bound purely in terms of d and n .

Remark

Not true in general for modules. Given fixed $t_1 < \dots < t_h$, there exists a module M over R with $t_i(M) = t_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$ and $t_{h+1}(M)$ arbitrarily large.

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

	0	1	2	...	h
$0:$	1	?	?	?	?		
$1:$	-	?	?	?	?		
$t_1 - 1:$	-	?	?	?	?		
\vdots	-	-	?	?	?		
$t_2 - 2:$	-	-	?	?	?		
\vdots	-	-	-	?	?		
$t_h - h:$	-	-	-	-	?		
\vdots	-	-	-	-	-		
\vdots	-	-	-	-	-		
\vdots	-	-	-	-	-		

A Regularity Bound in Terms of Half of the Syzygies

	0	1	2	...	h
0:	1	?	?	?	?	?	?
1:	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
$t_1 - 1$:	-	?	?	?	?	?	?
⋮	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
$t_2 - 2$:	-	-	?	?	?	?	?
⋮	-	-	-	?	?	?	?
$t_h - h$:	-	-	-	-	?	?	?
⋮	-	-	-	-	-	?	?
$\sum t_i + \prod t_i / (h-1)!$:	-	-	-	-	-	?	?
$\sum t_i + \prod t_i / (h-1)! + 1$:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

An Example

Suppose $I \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ and we are given

$$t_1(R/I) = 11 \quad t_2(R/I) = 12 \quad t_3(R/I) = 13$$

An Example

Suppose $I \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ and we are given

$$t_1(R/I) = 11 \quad t_2(R/I) = 12 \quad t_3(R/I) = 13$$

The doubly exponential bound says

$$\text{reg}(R/I) \leq (2 \cdot 11)^{2^3} \cong 5.4 \times 10^{10}.$$

An Example

Suppose $I \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ and we are given

$$t_1(R/I) = 11 \quad t_2(R/I) = 12 \quad t_3(R/I) = 13$$

The doubly exponential bound says

$$\text{reg}(R/I) \leq (2 \cdot 11)^{2^3} \cong 5.4 \times 10^{10}.$$

The previous theorem shows that

$$\text{reg}(R/I) \leq (11 + 12 + 13) + \frac{11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13}{2!} = 894.$$

An Example

Suppose $I \subset R = K[x_1, \dots, x_5]$ and we are given

$$t_1(R/I) = 11 \quad t_2(R/I) = 12 \quad t_3(R/I) = 13$$

The doubly exponential bound says

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) \leq (2 \cdot 11)^{2^3} \cong 5.4 \times 10^{10}.$$

The previous theorem shows that

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) \leq (11 + 12 + 13) + \frac{11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13}{2!} = 894.$$

If further, we are given that I is a three-generated ideal, similar methods yield

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) \leq 14.$$

Another Regularity Bound for Ideals

Theorem (-)

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

Another Regularity Bound for Ideals

Theorem (-)

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

i.e. Last regularity jump in the resolution of R/I cannot be large relative to the previous jumps.

Question

 I_S

$$t_n \leq \min\{t_i + t_{n-i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}?$$

True if $\dim(R/I) \leq 1$ and $\text{depth}(R/I) = 0$
(Eisenbud-Huneke-Ulrich) and if $n \leq 3$ by above.

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Definition

A graded free resolution F_\bullet is *pure* if

$$F_i = R(-d_i)^{\beta_{i,d_i}} \quad \forall i.$$

(i th syzygies live in unique internal degree d_i for each homological degree i .)

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Definition

A graded free resolution F_\bullet is *pure* if

$$F_i = R(-d_i)^{\beta_{i,d_i}} \quad \forall i.$$

(i th syzygies live in unique internal degree d_i for each homological degree i .)

e.g.

$$R \leftarrow R(-2)^3 \leftarrow R(-4)^5 \leftarrow R(-5)^4 \leftarrow R(-6) \leftarrow 0.$$

is pure with degree sequence $d = (0, 2, 4, 5, 6)$.

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Theorem (Herzog-Kühl '84)

If M is Cohen-Macaulay and has pure resolution with degree sequence $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$, then

$$\beta_{i,d_i} = t \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{|d_j - d_i|} \quad \text{some } t.$$

Boij-Söderberg Theory

If we normalize by dividing by β_0 , we can associate to any degree sequence a unique pure Betti table (possibly with rational coefficients) such that $\beta_0 = 1$.

Boij-Söderberg Theory

If we normalize by dividing by β_0 , we can associate to any degree sequence a unique pure Betti table (possibly with rational coefficients) such that $\beta_0 = 1$.

Set $\bar{\pi}(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$ to be the pure Betti table with

$$\bar{\beta}_i(d) = \beta_{i,d_i} = \frac{\prod_{j \neq 0} |d_j - d_0|}{\prod_{j \neq i} |d_j - d_i|}.$$

Boij-Söderberg Theory

If we normalize by dividing by β_0 , we can associate to any degree sequence a unique pure Betti table (possibly with rational coefficients) such that $\beta_0 = 1$.

Set $\bar{\pi}(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$ to be the pure Betti table with

$$\bar{\beta}_i(d) = \beta_{i,d_i} = \frac{\prod_{j \neq 0} |d_j - d_0|}{\prod_{j \neq i} |d_j - d_i|}.$$

e.g. $\bar{\pi}(0, 2, 3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & - & - \\ - & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Theorem (Eisenbud-Schreyer '07)

The Betti table of a graded Cohen-Macaulay R -module M is a positive rational sum of pure Betti tables

$$\beta(M) = \sum_{\underline{d} \leq d \leq \bar{d}} q_d \bar{\pi}(d), \quad q_d \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Theorem (Eisenbud-Schreyer '07)

The Betti table of a graded Cohen-Macaulay R -module M is a positive rational sum of pure Betti tables

$$\beta(M) = \sum_{\underline{d} \leq d \leq \bar{d}} q_d \bar{\pi}(d), \quad q_d \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note: $\mu(M) = \sum_j \beta_{0,j}(M) = \sum_d q_d \bar{\beta}_0(d) = \sum_d q_d$

Boij-Söderberg Theory

Theorem (Eisenbud-Schreyer '07)

The Betti table of a graded Cohen-Macaulay R -module M is a positive rational sum of pure Betti tables

$$\beta(M) = \sum_{\underline{d} \leq d \leq \bar{d}} q_d \bar{\pi}(d), \quad q_d \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Note: $\mu(M) = \sum_j \beta_{0,j}(M) = \sum_d q_d \bar{\beta}_0(d) = \sum_d q_d$
If $M = R/I$, $\sum_d q_d = \mu(R/I) = 1$.

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Suppose $t_n > t_i + t_{n-i}$ for all i .

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Suppose $t_n > t_i + t_{n-i}$ for all i .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{t_{n-i}}{t_n - t_i} < 1.$$

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Suppose $t_n > t_i + t_{n-i}$ for all i .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{t_{n-i}}{t_n - t_i} < 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\beta}_n(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq 0} t_j}{\prod_{j \neq n} t_n - t_j} = \frac{t_n}{t_n} \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n - t_1} \cdots \frac{t_1}{t_n - t_{n-1}} < 1.$$

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Suppose $t_n > t_i + t_{n-i}$ for all i .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{t_{n-i}}{t_n - t_i} < 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\beta}_n(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq 0} t_j}{\prod_{j \neq n} t_n - t_j} = \frac{t_n}{t_n} \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n - t_1} \dots \frac{t_1}{t_n - t_{n-1}} < 1.$$

Lemma: If $d \leq t = (t_0, \dots, t_n)$, then $\bar{\beta}_n(d) < \bar{\beta}_n(t)$.

Sketch of proof for $t_n \leq \max\{t_i + t_{n-i}\}$

Suppose $t_n > t_i + t_{n-i}$ for all i .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{t_{n-i}}{t_n - t_i} < 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\beta}_n(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\prod_{j \neq 0} t_j}{\prod_{j \neq n} t_n - t_j} = \frac{t_n}{t_n} \frac{t_{n-1}}{t_n - t_1} \dots \frac{t_1}{t_n - t_{n-1}} < 1.$$

Lemma: If $d \leq t = (t_0, \dots, t_n)$, then $\bar{\beta}_n(d) < \bar{\beta}_n(t)$.

B-S Decomposition \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \beta_{n,t_n}(R/I) &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq t \\ d_n = t_n}} q_d \bar{\beta}_n(d) \leq \sum_{\substack{d \leq t \\ d_n = t_n}} q_d \bar{\beta}_n(t) \\ &< \sum_{\substack{d \leq t \\ d_n = t_n}} q_d \leq \sum_d q_d = 1. \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Contradiction!

Thank you!

Reference:

- J McCullough, “A Polynomial Bound on the Regularity of an Ideal in Terms of Half of the Syzygies,” arXiv:1112.0058v1 [math.AC], to appear in Math. Res. Ltrs.